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CONFERENCE REPORTS

6th IAS Conference on HIV Pathogenesis, Treatment and Prevention
17–20 July 2011, Rome

Introduction
This issue of HTB includes further reports from IAS 2011.
The conference has an open-access searchable abstract database online.
http://www.ias2011.org/
The ‘Programme at a glance’ can be searched for key words but requires a free software upgrade Silverlight which is quick and easy to do. Then from this page you can search abstracts or presentations.
http://pag.ias2011.org/

Sessions with PowerPoint slides or webcasts have relevant icons next to them. As with previous years, the PowerPoint links on the left under the session time are not active, so to download PowerPoint files scroll down to the bottom of the session page.

Reports in this issue of HTB include:
• Cure research and viral reservoirs
• Orange Farm circumcision results dispel concerns about risk compensation
• Randomised trial of ART in TB patients with high CD4 counts

Unless stated otherwise, all references are the Programme and Abstracts of the 16th IAS Conference on HIV Pathogenesis, Treatment and Prevention, 17–20 July 2011, Rome.

6th IAS: CURE RESEARCH

Cure research and viral reservoirs
Simon Collins, HIV i-Base
In addition to the prevention studies and the progress on pipeline drugs that made most headlines (see the previous issue of HTB), a third set of presentations through the meeting supported the IAS Conference Statement on the need for the cure. [1]

That ‘the Cure’ might again re seen as an achievable goal for research was resuscitated in keynote lectures from NIAID lead Anthony Fauci several years ago and several medical networks, including the IAS, already hold annual meetings to coordinate different approaches. US public funding now requires cure research as a key work stream for HIV treatment networks.

Whilst the scientific challenge of curing HIV has been the consistent focus for many committed researchers, the renewed level of funding is clearly driven by the financial challenge of providing lifelong global treatment. Even when the generic costs are reduced to less than $100 per person per year, current treatment programmes need to more than double and then be sustained for coverage to meet the existing need. Perhaps increasing the resources for cure research is therefore perhaps also the most ethical way to be able to withdraw from responsibility for funding global treatment.

However, these sessions in Rome were mostly held in the smaller rooms, filled to capacity. They were also frustratingly insular with few being available as webcasts or slides to download, including the plenary session by IAS President Elect, Françoise Barré-Sinoussi. [2]

Neither the IAS pre-conference workshop (New concepts in HIV Immunopathogenesis, Treatment and Vaccine Strategies) nor the rapid summary report from that workshop in the main IAS conference by Nicolas Chomont was webcast. However, slides are available from some of the workshop sessions and for the summary by Chomont. [3, 4]
A satellite meeting cosponsored by amfAR and IAS also was not webcast, although the slide presentations can be downloaded. [5] This meeting focused on whether:

- viral replication persists on HAART;
- eradication research can progress in animal models or is dependent on human studies;
- eradication is most likely to come from targeting the viral reservoir or more recent approaches using gene therapy.

Several other presentations at the conferences looked at strategies to selectively reactivate the reservoir of latently infected resting CD4 cells, either at the pre- or post-integration step. This challenge is highlighted by the pool being estimated to be less than one in a million resting cells for someone on stable treatment with undetectable viral load.

Some researchers believe that success in this goal might eradicate HIV, though this is dependent on whether current treatment suppresses replication sufficiently to halt viral evolution. This might turn out to be possible, as it has been the conclusion from several intensification studies that have shown no further reduction on low level viraemia after increasing the potency of a three drug combination with a fourth drug, including an integrase inhibitor. [6] An oral presentation from Brunetta and colleagues reported no impact on CD4 reservoirs in gut-associated lymphoid tissue obtained from sigmoid colon biopsies at 48 weeks of follow up following intensification with raltegravir. [7]

A case reported by Chun and colleagues in an article in AIDS last year perhaps also supports this view. [8] This paper described one person - 'the Toronto patient' - who was enrolled and treated prior to seroconversion. Viral load was suppressed to <50 copies/mL on HAART for more than ten years, driving the pool of infected CD4 T cells down to less than one in 1.7 billion cells. Against advice, the person decided to stop treatment under research conditions. Viral rebound only occurred after 50 days with an increased to 1600 copies/mL followed by spontaneous suppression by day 95 back to undetectable. Subsequently, viral load steadily increased to approximately 8600 copies/mL on day 143 when treatment was restarted.

So one interpretation of this case could be to emphasise the difficulty of eradication - even with such an early, effective and sustained level of treatment. Another interpretation is that eradication might almost have been achieved. Perhaps another month, or year, or few years on treatment might have been sufficient to final exhaust the remaining pool on resting infected cells. This study is unlikely to be repeated.

Another more optimistic, but also unexplained, set of cases includes the 32 patients from the ANRS Visconti study reported at CROI this year. This group received antiretroviral therapy within ten weeks of seroconversion for a median of three years (1-7.5 years). Five of these people sustained virological control for a median of 6 years (range 4-10) after treatment discontinuation. [9] It is unclear why similar cohorts (Rosenberg, Walker et al.) have not had the same success.

However, over time, so long as treatment is maintained, the resting pool of infected cells might be able to be agitated to become active, most likely by using multiple approaches. This could reduce the time needed to eliminate this reservoir from decades down to years - with residual virus mopped up by antiretrovirals, allowing treatment to be stopped.

Importantly, research into activation of latently infected cells is already investigating a broad group of drugs that are already licensed. Studying HIV transcription at the molecular level is driving the understanding of differences between latent and productively infected CD4 cells including HDAC-1 and methylation sites in latent infection with the hope that these targets might switch cells away from latency.

A comprehensive review of potential molecules by Sharon Lewin and Christine Rouzioux in the 24 April edition of AIDS [10] was the basis of one of the presentations at the IAS cure workshop. [11]

These include histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitors (vorinostat, romidepsin, panabinozat, entinostat, givinostat and at least nine others), a methylation inhibitor (5-azacytidine), cytokines (IL-7 - Eramune group, IL-15) and an antialcoholic (disulfiram). Immune modulators with similar potential include antibiotics (minocycline), antirheumatics (auranofin), anti-PD-1 (MDX-1106) and protein kinase C modulators (bryostatins and others). Many of these compounds are already being studied in HIV-positive people.

An oral presentation by Claire Vandergeeten from the Vaccine and Gene Therapy Institute reported results from in vitro studies that suggest that IL-15 therapy may be used as a strategy to deplete the latent HIV reservoir while IL-7 maintains the reservoir both in vitro and in patients on stable HAART. [12]

This research is important and exciting. Many of these compounds have been studied for several years and for other studies are ongoing. A combination therapy approach is therefore likely to have a greater chance of success, for example, valproic acid or vorinostat plus prostratin. [13]

However, other researchers believe that an as yet unidentified sanctuary site would prevent the latent reservoir from being a slowly diminishing pool that theoretically might wear itself out, with or without stimulation to do so. This includes Steven Deeks at UCSF who co-chairs the IAS working group on cure research and was heads a recent $4 million grant from the US NIH to develop a strategy to eradicate HIV. [14]

This raises the importance of finding out whether any compartments are actively replenishing the viral reservoir, currently untouched by the maximal suppression measured by plasma viral load.

If this is the case, then any strategy to activate latently infected cells would not be successful. In November 2010, Yuki and colleagues reported that ongoing replication (measured by unspliced HIV RNA in CD4 T cells) is present in higher levels in gut sites.
(duodenum, terminal ileum, right colon and rectum) compared to that in PBMCs in patients on HAART with viral load suppressed to <40 copies/mL. [15]

The same group then showed that intensification with raltegravir in this group of patients produced a reduction in levels of unspliced RNA in the terminal ileum and a trend towards reduced T cell activation in other gut sites. [16]

For these researchers, looking for the impact of intensification studies in plasma viral load is searching in the wrong place. If tissue compartments are a source of ongoing viral replication, the spillover pool found in plasma may have limited relevance. Other cellular sites distinct from CD4 T cells contributing to the latent cellular reservoir include macrophages, hematopoetic stem cells, naive T cells, astrocytes, thymocytes and others.

Also, Maria Buzon and colleagues in Nature Medicine in 2010 reported increases in episomes following raltegravir intensification (shown by an increase in 2LTR in PMBCs) as evidence of de novo infection and reduced levels of activation. The extremely low level or replication is used to account for the non-development of resistance even over years. Also perhaps indicating that the chronic source for new virus drives a limited number of rounds of infection. [17]

Finally, in one of the few late breaker presentations with slides posted online, Hiroyu Hatano working with Deeks’ group at UCSF reported that viral persistence was consistently associated with markers of immune activation and dysfunction (including PD-1 expressing cells) rather than plasma viral load. These measures were particularly elevated in people on treatment with low CD4 counts despite treatment (less than 350 cells/mm3 compared to higher), suggesting that patients below this cut-off present a more difficult and sobering challenge to any approach to a cure.

More optimistically, Hatano noted that the preferential expression of PD-1 by latently infected cells supports targeting this molecule as a strategy for depleting HIV reservoirs. The AIDS Clinical Trials Group (ACTG) in the US is planning an exploratory trial of Merck’s experimental PD-1 inhibitor for this purpose. [18]

References

Unless stated otherwise, all references are to the abstracts and conference programme of the 6th IAS Conference on HIV Pathogenesis, Treatment and Prevention, 1720 July 2011, Rome.
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6th IAS: PREVENTION

Orange Farm circumcision results dispel concerns about risk compensation

Nathan Geffen, TBonline

Amidst the excitement about HPTN 052 at the Rome IAS meeting, the results of the ANRS Orange Farm circumcision programme received little publicity, despite stunning data.

Orange Farm was the site of the first of three randomised control trials that showed that circumcision reduces the risk of men contracting HIV in a predominantly heterosexual population. Following the trial, the researchers implemented a scaled up circumcision programme in Orange Farm. Bertran Auvert presented a late-breaker describing the results of this programme. [1]

There are several important findings from this study:

- Post-trial uptake was large. Orange Farm has now carried out approximately 25,000 circumcisions.
- No deaths or permanent injuries have occurred due to circumcision. There have been ten hospitalisations and in all these cases the adverse events were resolved.
- The benefit of circumcision on HIV incidence is durable.
- There was no evidence that incidence was affected by risk compensation.

Orange Farm is a township of about 110,000 adults about 45kms from Johannesburg. Since January 2008, free voluntary medical male circumcision to all boys and men older than 15 has been offered by the ANRS-sponsored project. The intervention includes community mobilisation and outreach, counselling, condom distribution, STI treatment, HIV voluntary counselling and testing and ART if eligible.

A baseline cross-sectional survey was done in 2007. This was a random sample of just under 1,200 males aged 15 to 49 years. The response rate was 74%. Male circumcision status was determined by genital examination. A second cross-sectional survey was done in 2010. It was almost the same size and the response rate was 88%. This survey included a background and sexual behaviour questionnaire. Again male circumcision status was determined by genital examination. Blood samples were tested for HIV, ARVs and for recent infection (within 6 months) using a population incidence detuned HIV test (Calypte EIA BED).

Uptake

Male circumcision prevalence changed from 15.6% (95%CI: 13.6%-17.8%) of 15-49 year-olds in 2007 to 49.4% (95%CI: 46.5%-52.3%) in 2010. Using this data, the researchers calculated uptake, which increased across all age groups in the 2008-2010 period. In 15-49 year-olds it was 40% (95%CI: 38.0% to 43.5%) and 49.1% in 20 to 24 year-olds (95%CI: 42.1% to 52.4%). This substantial increase led Auvert to comment, “We are changing the social norms.”

In a comparison of 590 circumcised versus 605 uncircumcised men, circumcised men were younger, more educated, less likely to be married and more often aware of their HIV status. No difference in sexual behaviour was detected. For example reported condom usage was consistent (adjusted OR: 0.84; 95%CI: 0.63-1.1; p=0.26).

HIV prevalence and incidence

Among 586 uncircumcised men in the survey, 117 were HIV-positive (20%; 95%CI: 16.7%-23.2%). Among circumcised men, 36 out of 582 men were HIV-positive (6.2%; 95%CI: 4.3%-8.2%). This is a 55% reduction (95%CI: 39% to 70%).

In the 15-34 age group, the BED assay indicated that incidence in uncircumcised men was 3.7 per 100 person-years (95%CI: 2.2-6.1) and 0.6 per 100 person-years in circumcised men (0.19-1.9). The adjusted relative risk was 0.24 (95%CI: 0-0.66). Interestingly, this is equivalent to a 76% reduction that is exactly what the as-treated effect of the Orange Farm randomised control trial was.
Because of problems with the BED assay, a modelling exercise was also done in which HIV incidence was calculated from HIV prevalence data to determine the effect of circumcision on incidence. In this separate analysis the reduction in incidence was 83% (95%CI: 64%-98%) in 15-34 year-olds, consistent with the BED-based estimate.

It was estimated that without male circumcision, HIV prevalence would have been 25.1% higher in 15-49 year-olds in Orange Farm (95%CI: 13.1%-39.1%) and HIV incidence would have been 57.9% higher (95%CI: 17.0%-131%).

**COM** **MENT**

The key limitation to a study like this is that it is observational. But randomised controlled trials have already proven the efficacy of circumcision. This was the first prospective study to show the benefits of circumcision in a real-world operational setting.

A widely expressed concern about circumcision is that risk compensation would undo much of its benefit. The finding that the operational effect of circumcision matched the as-treated effect of the Orange Farm clinical trial addresses this concern. The lack of difference in reported condom usage also indicates that risk compensation is not a factor, but this must be discounted against the fact that survey participants give answers that they believe are consistent with societal expectations rather than what they actually do.

There should be no further objections to scaling up voluntary medical male circumcision in appropriately equipped facilities. The South African Department of Health has committed to scaling up circumcision and implementation is taking place in several provinces. PEPFAR and the Gates Foundation have both committed to funding circumcision programmes across sub-Saharan Africa. However South African guidelines have still not been published, albeit that a draft exists. These guidelines need to be finalised and published. An implementation plan also needs to be devised.

The Orange Farm researchers hope soon to be able to do an analysis of the effect of medical male circumcision on incidence in women.

**Reference**

Auvert B. 2011. Effect of the Orange Farm (South Africa) male circumcision roll-out (ANRS-12126) on the spread of HIV. 6th IAS Conference on HIV Pathogenesis, Treatment and Prevention. 17-20 July 2011, Rome, Italy.

http://pag.ias2011.org/Session.aspx?s=43#1

**Thank you to Bertran Auvert, Dino Rech and Dirk Taljaard for assisting my understanding of this study.**

**Declaration of interest:** Nathan Geffen am a member of the Orange Farm circumcision scientific committee.

**6TH IAS: TB COINFECTION**

**Randomised trial of ART in TB patients with high CD4 counts**

**Nathan Geffen, TBonline**

The benefits of initiating antiretroviral treatment (ART) in TB co-infected patients with CD4 counts below 350 cells/mm3 have been demonstrated in a number of recent studies. [1-2]

Now an open-label randomised controlled trial by Nanteza and colleagues has been published that looks at patients with higher CD4 counts. The trial, run in Uganda, compared 6 months of ART concurrent with TB treatment versus only TB treatment in patients with CD4 counts > 350 cells/mm3. The trial was small, but it provides some evidence that there is clinical benefit to placing patients with high CD4 counts on ART. [3]

From October 2004 through September 2008, 4,951 people were screened. Of these 250 patients co-infected with TB and HIV and with CD4 counts > 350 cells/mm3 were enrolled. Of these 232 were randomised and 214 remained on the trial and eligible for analysis. The final control and intervention arms each had 107 patients. Patients in the intervention arm were given ART (abacavir, lamivudine and AZT) for six months. Participants were followed up for 24 months. The trial was designed and approved before several studies demonstrated that structured treatment interruptions are not a viable treatment option. The authors explained that the rationale of the study was that a punctuated course of antiretroviral therapy in patients with high CD4 cell counts would suppress viral replication during therapy for tuberculosis, block the effects of immune activation on T cells harboring HIV, slow the pace of HIV disease progression, and improve clinical outcomes.

The baseline characteristics of the patients were mostly well matched. There were slightly more men in the control arm (63% versus 52%). Nearly all patients had at least one TB symptom, especially cough. Median age was 31 years. Nearly 90% of patients were both smear and culture-positive. Interestingly 8% of patients were smear-positive and culture-negative, while only 3% were smear-negative and culture-positive. The intervention and control arms had similar median CD4 counts (between 500 and 550 cells/mm3) and median viral load (4.6 and 4.7 log10 copies/mL).

The primary endpoint was a composite of CD4 cell count < 250 cells/mm3, clinical AIDS, or death. In the intervention arm, 17 people reached this endpoint versus 25 in the control arm (p=0.17). Most people reached the endpoint on the basis of CD4 count,
15 in the intervention arm and 18 in the control arm. They initiated lifelong ART. Although not statistically significant, there were consistently fewer endpoint events in the intervention arm throughout the trial. At 12 months of follow-up the difference between the arms reached significance (98% and 90%, respectively; p=0.02), but became non-significant by the end of the follow-up period.

There were two deaths in the ART arm and no clinical endpoints. There were three clinical endpoints and four deaths in the control arm. Despite the tiny numbers, this was significant (p=0.048).

In the intervention arm, 86% of participants achieved a viral load <400 copies/mL at three and six months. Viral load rebounded upon discontinuation of treatment to near baseline. The average viral load of the control group did not change significantly over the 24-month period.

There were 45 versus 28 adverse events in the control and intervention groups respectively. When considered individually, the risk of a grade 3 or 4 adverse event was 76% greater in the control arm than in the intervention arm (rate ratio, 1.76; 95% CI: 1.24–2.53). About half the adverse events took place during the six months treatment (ART or TB) stage of the study. Neutropenia was high and not significantly different in both arms (17 versus 25% in the intervention and control arms respectively). The authors therefore concluded that neutropenia is common in patients with tuberculosis, even when CD4 counts are >350 cells/mm3 and that treatment with concurrent antiretroviral therapy only partially mitigates the effect of HIV infection on bone marrow suppression. As would be expected in a trial of people with relatively high CD4 counts, no Immune Reconstitution Syndrome was detected.

No patients were culture-positive after six months of TB therapy. The average time to culture conversion was 37.5 days in the intervention group versus 29 in the control, but this was not significant (p=0.37).

The authors state that the trial provides limited further support for early initiation of treatment.

**Comment**

Although small and despite the outdated and short treatment intervention, this study does provide limited support for initiating all HIV-positive patients with TB on ART, even at high CD4 counts.

The World Health Organisation (WHO) recommends that treatment be provided to all patients with TB irrespective of CD4 count. The South African government has recently announced that it will treat all patients with CD4 counts <350 cells/mm3. This study offers some evidence, albeit not compelling, that South Africa should go further and implement the WHO recommendation. It seems likely that South Africa’s new HIV and TB National Strategic Plan for 2012-2016 will provide for this.

Also interesting was that in this Ugandan setting 25% of the TB and HIV co-infected patients who screened for the trial had CD4 counts above 350 cells/mm3.

In Khayelitsha, Cape Town, nearly one in five HIV-positive patients presents with a CD4 count >500 cells/mm3 (communication with MSF). These statistics show that the question of determining the optimal starting point affects many people and is an important one.

We look forward to the results of the TEMPRANO and START trials that have been designed to answer this question.

**References**


**Antiretrovirals**

**Once-daily nevirapine approved in Europe**

On 21 September 2011 Boehringer Ingelheim announced that the once-daily formulation of extended release nevirapine (Viramune XR) had received approval for use in the EU.

The new tablet is indicated in combination with other antiretroviral medications for the treatment of HIV-1 infection. EU approval for the use of one 400 mg tablet once daily for adults and adolescents - and for 50 mg and 100 mg strengths for once-daily treatment of children - is based on results from clinical trials confirming the significant therapeutic benefits of nevirapine when administered in a convenient once-a-day formulation.

This formulation still requires a lead-in dose of 200 mg once-daily with the original twice-daily formulation of nevirapine, with a caution not to increase the dose if rash is present at day 14.

Nevirapine-XR was approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in the US in March 2011.
Fixed-dose combination of rilpivirine/tenofovir/FTC (Eviplera) recommended for approval in Europe

On 23 September 2011 the Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP), the scientific committee of the European Medicines Agency, adopted a positive opinion on the Marketing Authorisation Application for the once-daily single-tablet fixed dose combination of the NNRTI (rilpivirine) with Truvada (emtricitabine and tenofovir).

The fixed dose combination will be called Eviplera in Europe.

It is notable that the indication is restricted to treatment of HIV-1 infection in antiretroviral-naive adults with a viral load less than 100,000 HIV-1 RNA copies/mL.

In the US, where the fixed dose combination is already approved and marketed as Complera, the indication only included a caution against using in patients with baseline viral load >100,000 copies/mL.

The CHMP's positive recommendation will be reviewed by the European Commission, which has the authority to approve medicinal products for use in the 27 countries of the European Union (EU). Gilead expects the European Commission to issue its decision on the marketing authorisation for the Eviplera single-tablet regimen later this year.

ViiV withdraw European application for once-daily maraviroc

On 20 September 2011, ViiV Healthcare announced that it has withdrawn its Type II Variation to the European Medicines Agency (EMA) and its Supplemental New Drug Application (sNDA) to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for once-daily (QD) administration of maraviroc (Celsentri/Selzentry) tablets in treatment-experienced patients infected with only CCR5-tropic HIV-1.

The submission was based on a sub-analysis of the original registrational studies in treatment-experienced patients, MOTIVATE 1 & 2. The press release stated that “although ViiV Healthcare remains committed to studying the potential for once-daily dosing of maraviroc in treatment-experienced patients, we have concluded that additional data is necessary to establish the efficacy of once-daily dosing”.

FDA approval of generic ARVs

Since the last issue of HTB, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has granted tentative approval for the following new generic ARV products.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug and formulation</th>
<th>Manufacturer, Country</th>
<th>Approval date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3TC/AZT 30 mg/60 mg for pediatric patients 3 months and older weighing at least 5 kg.</td>
<td>Cipla, India</td>
<td>22 September 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3TC/tenofovir 300 mg/300 mg FDC tablets co-pack with nevirapine 200mg tablets</td>
<td>Matric laboratories, India</td>
<td>8 September 2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FDC: Fixed Dose Combination

Effective patent dates are listed in the agency’s publication titled Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations, also known as the Orange Book:

http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/ob/default.cfm

An updated list of generic tentative approvals is available on the FDA website:

http://www.fda.gov/oia/pepfar.htm

Source: FDA list serve: http://www.fda.gov/InternationalPrograms/FDABeyondOurBordersForeignOffices/AsiaandAfrica/ucm119231.htm
Global Fund adopts restructuring recommendations and close to 50% funding shortfall for next round of grants

Simon Collins, HIV i-Base

A high level panel established six months ago by the Board of the Global Fund after widespread media publicity about corruption among some grant implementers released its report on 19 September 2011.

The panel, led by former President Mogae of Botswana and former US Secretary of Health and Human Services Michael Leavitt, was given a broad remit to look into the Global Fund’s problems and what should be done about them. Much is riding on the report as several donors delayed making, or implementing, their funding commitments for 2011 and later until they the report was published and the Global Fund responded.

While identifying many areas for improvement, the Fund was recognised as a vital part of what makes health care function in many countries. Its failure would bring serious, dramatic and tragic consequences. Many of the recommendations related to changing the organisations role from providing an emergency response to sustainability with heightened public responsibility.

It is clearly important that the majority of recommendations, including major structural changes, were accepted by the Fund when the report was formally accepted the following week.

However, perhaps more significantly, the Global Fund looks likely to have significantly less funding for new grants in Round 11 - barely more than half - than the estimate published in May 2011. The Global Fund Observers reported that “the panel found this situation a cause for deep concern”.

At the Global Fund Board meeting on 26 September 2011, the Fund revealed that its forecast of $1.5 billion for Round 11 has been lowered to “not more than” $0.8 billion, and that even this amount might not be available until the fourth quarter of 2013.

The previous forecast was based on the assumption that all donors would honour their pledges, and that donors that traditionally do not make pledges would provide funding at a level similar to what they had provided before. However, the global economic insecurity and other factors have shown these assumptions appear to be overly optimistic.

This has already resulted in an extended deadline for applications to at least March 2012 and a statement that given the financial restraints it will “consider options for reallocation of existing commitments” in order “to prioritize high-impact interventions.”

The need to pressure donor countries to follow through and expand on their commitments has never been stronger or more important.

Source: Global Fund Observer. Issues 158 and 159
http://www.aidspan.org/

PREGNANCY & PMTCT

Increased risk of preterm delivery with protease inhibitor based HAART in Mma Bana

Polly Clayden HIV i-Base

The Mma Bana trial compared antiretroviral regimens to prevent mother-to-child transmission in pregnancy, with highly efficacious results and some of the lowest reported in Africa [1]

The investigators performed a secondary analysis to look at the occurrence of preterm delivery (PTD) among women in the trial with CD4 counts ≥200 cells/mm3 randomised to receive either ABC+AZT+3TC or LPV/r+AZT+3TC initiated at 26 to 34 weeks gestation. We reported the results from this analysis – presented at CROI 2011 – earlier this year [2]

Kathleen Powis and colleagues published the complete results of the PTD analysis in the August 15 2011 edition of the Journal of Infectious Diseases with an accompanying commentary from Athena P Kourtis and Mary Glenn Fowler. [3, 4]

There were 263 and 267 women in the NRTI and PI groups respectively in this study. Baseline characteristics were similar in the two groups, the women were a median age of 26.4 years with CD4 counts of about 400 cells/mm3 and approximately 67% were between 26 and 28 weeks gestation at enrollment. Overall 88 (16.7%) women had spontaneous PTDs.

Gestational age was calculated using an algorithm that combined maternal reported last monthly period and ultrasound.

In a multivariate analysis, adjusted for self reported maternal income (p=0.02 for 3 df), the investigators found PI-based HAART was associated with a two-fold higher rate of PTD compared to triple NRTI-based HAART, 21.4% vs 11.8%, AOR 2.02 (95% CI 1.25-3.27), p=0.003.

The investigators proposed that less weight gain in pregnancy due to possible gastrointestinal side effects of the PI might explain the increased PTD risk. However, although the mean change in BMI on HAART was lower in the PI group (p<0.001) this was not significantly associated with PTD.
Of the 464 women who initiated HAART before 32 weeks gestation, 12 (2.6%) had very PTDs (<32 weeks gestation). Of these, 8 (3.3%) were in the PI group and 4 (1.8%) in the NRTI group, p=0.39. The investigators noted that, only 3 of 12 women who had very PTDs completed 30 days of HAART prior to delivery limiting the interpretation of these findings.

By 6 months of age, preterm infants were significantly more likely to have a least one severe or life threatening respiratory tract infection than term infants, 9.1% vs 2.0%, p=0.03. Preterm infants were more likely to be hospitalised than term infants, 22.7 vs 12.7%, p=0.02. Their mortality was also higher in the first 6 months, 6.8% vs 1.4%, OR 5.3 (95% CI 1.7-16.9), p=0.002, compared to term infants.

When the investigators looked at infant morbidity and mortality by maternal treatment arm the only difference they found was infants born to mothers randomised to the NRTI arm were more likely to experience meningitis, 1.9% vs 0%, p=0.03.

In their commentary, Kourtis and Fowler explain that this is the first randomised clinical trial to demonstrate a difference between rates of PTD according to antiretroviral regimen. Although observational studies and analyses have suggested a risk with PI use others have not found this association.

They note that the Mma Bana trial was not designed to look at PTD specifically so the sample size may not be sufficiently powered to do so. Assuming a background PTD rate of 20%, in the general population in Botswana, a much larger sample would be needed to detect an increase in the risk of PTD of the size that was observed in the PI group. They point out that one unexplained finding is the lower rate of PTD seen in the NRTI group whereas the rate in the PI group does not appear to differ from the background rate in Botswana.

Their commentary suggests that the study by Powis et al may, “raise more questions than it answers”, despite providing interesting data, obtained in a randomised fashion from a resource limited setting, in a field were more is needed.

They raise the issue of the timing of treatment (ie the relatively late initiation), noting a third of women who delivered prematurely had only received less than 30 days of HAART, which is too short a period for there to have been an association with immune reconstitution and the resulting cytokine shift, which is one proposed mechanism for PTD. The design of the study also limits the investigation of very PTDs, which result in the most severe infant outcomes.

The only other randomised trial that may offer some more information is Kesho Bora, which did not show elevated PTD rates among women receiving PIs compared to AZT and single dose NVP (started between 28 and 36 weeks except the NVP), respectively 13% vs 11%.

They caution against the possible interpretation of these data and write, “it is too early to rush into recommendations without validation from further studies and careful consideration of the question at hand”.

COMMENT

Although not the primary study endpoint, these are the first RCT data showing an association between PI use in pregnancy and PTD. This is consistent with observational UK and European data, though not all from the US.

This association will be related to the PTD rate in the general population and other factors including timing of treatment.
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Efavirenz in pregnancy: update of systemic review and meta-analysis

Polly Clayden HIV i-Base

A systematic review and meta-analysis by Nathan Ford and colleagues, to February 2010, showed no increase in overall birth defects with efavirenz (EFV) use in the first trimester of pregnancy compared to other antiretrovirals or the general population. [1,2] But, the authors were unable to come to a definitive conclusion concerning the risk of rare outcomes such as neural tube defects due to the limited number of reports.

The same authors recently updated the meta-analysis to July 2011 and these findings were published ahead of print as a research letter in AIDS. [3]

This update found 181 additional live births with first trimester efavirenz exposure.

Across 21 studies, included in the analysis, 39 defects were reported among live births to 1437 women. The pooled prevalence of birth defects was 2% (95% CI, 0.82-3.18%) and ranged from 0% to 22.6%. There was one neural tube defect (myelomeningocele),
an incidence proportion of 0.07% (95% CI, 0.002-0.39%). Prevalence appeared to be higher in developed countries compared to developing ones, p=0.015.

An analysis of the 11 studies that reported birth defects among women receiving EFV-containing regimens (38 defects from 1289 live births) vs non-EFV regimens (316 defects from 8122 live births) gave a relative risk of 0.85 (95% CI, 0.61-1.20).

There was variable reporting of secondary outcomes across the studies: 8 reported spontaneous abortion (prevalence range 0% to 18.05%); 8 stillbirth (prevalence range 0% to 13.3%); 5 preterm delivery (prevalence range 9.1% to 18.2%) and 10 termination of pregnancy (prevalence range 0% to 33.7%). From the three studies that reported termination of pregnancy for EFV-exposed vs non-exposed pregnancies, the relative risk for termination of pregnancy with EFV exposure was 2.81 (95% CI, 0.94-8.36).

The authors wrote that this expanded review confirms the findings from their previous meta-analysis. The pooled prevalence of defects for first trimester EFV-exposed births of 2% is similar to that reported for first trimester non-EFV exposed births in the Antiretroviral Pregnancy Registry of 2.9% and in the general population of 6%. They note that the incidence of neural tube defects of 0.07% remains low.

They add that the main limitation of the review is the small sample size, with over 80% of the data coming from just four studies where prospective reporting of birth outcomes has been established. With data for only 181 found for the update in the 18 months since the original review, “Prospective surveillance systems particularly in developing countries are needed to improve data reporting and inform the assessment of rare birth defects”, they wrote.

**Comment**

That there were data for, what would work out as, an average of ten efavirenz-exposed pregnancies reported per month since the previous analysis definitely highlights the authors conclusion.

If more countries go down the same path as Malawi and recommend universal efavirenz-based HAART (lifelong from initiation) for pregnant women, it is hoped that there will be good surveillance systems with these programmes to help to improve the numbers.
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AZT not equivalent to HAART to prevent mother-to-child transmission in a Botswana programme

**Polly Clayden HIV i-Base**

A study, first presented at CROI 2011, compared mother to child transmission rates for women receiving AZT (with or without single dose NVP) or HAART in pregnancy in the Botswana national programme. [1] We reported these data in the May issue of HTB. [2]

This prospective observational study conducted between February 2009 and April 2010, showed of 428 infants born to either 258 mothers receiving HAART or 170 mothers receiving AZT, those in the AZT group were significantly more likely to be HIV infected than those whose mothers received AZT, relative risk 13.9 (95% CI 1.8-108), p=0.001. There were nine transmissions in the AZT group and one in the HAART group.

Notably the women eligible for HAART had CD4 counts <250 cells/mm3 and those receiving AZT >250 cells/mm3.

Scott Dryden-Peterson and colleagues reported complete results in an online article published ahead of print in JAIDS. [3]

The overall findings are unchanged from those presented previously but the article includes some more details and discussion. The authors write: “Our findings do not support the equivalence of zidovudine and HAART for the prevention of MTCT.” In the study over half (5/9) infections in the AZT group occurred in women with CD4 counts ≤350 cells/mm3.

The authors observed difficulties with the delivery of single dose NVP in this cohort (women receiving <4 weeks of AZT were eligible) with only 5 (22.7%) women receiving this. Preterm delivery rather than delayed initiation was the main reason in this cohort for short duration of antenatal antiretrovirals, with nearly one-third of infants born preterm or of low birth weight.

They add that the findings from this study indicate that a strategy to provide HAART for all HIV-positive pregnant women, as is being piloted in Botswana, could almost eliminate infant HIV infection.

**Comment**

Although better transmission results for women not indicated for treatment have been demonstrated in trials, programmers have often remarked that having a two-tiered approach to PMTCT is too complicated to implement.
Physiological and behaviour changes during pregnancy may increase risk of HIV transmission. Results from previous studies looking at HIV acquisition in women in pregnancy have been inconsistent. No study has looked at transmission from HIV-positive pregnant women to men directly.

Investigators from the Partners in Prevention HIV/HSV Transmission study – a randomised controlled trial of acyclovir suppressive HSV treatment for the prevention of HIV transmission in serodiscordant African couples – evaluated the relationship between pregnancy and risk of HIV acquisition in women and transmission from women to men. Nelly Mugo and colleagues reported findings from this study in an article published ahead of print in August 2011 AIDS.

There were 3321 couples included in the overall analysis, of these, in 1085 (32.7%) and 2236 (67.3%) couples, the man and the woman were the HIV-positive partner respectively. The median follow up was about 20 months. Pregnancy testing was quarterly. At enrollment, 94 (8.7%) HIV-negative and none of the HIV-positive women were pregnant (pregnancy was an exclusion criterion for HIV-positive women). Subsequently, there were 226 and 503 pregnancies among the HIV-positive and HIV-negative women respectively, during the study period. This gave an incidence of 15.3 pregnancies per 100 person years, with 1480 person-years of follow up at risk of pregnancy for the HIV-negative women and 16.0 per 100 person-years with 3147 person-years of follow up at risk of pregnancy for the HIV-positive women. A proportion of women (27 from each group) had two pregnancies during follow up and one HIV-positive woman was pregnant three times.

Out of a total of 61 seroconversions among women, 17 (27.9%) were during pregnancy. The incidence of HIV during pregnancy was 7.35 per 100 person years compared to 3.01 per 100 person years during times when the women were not pregnant, HR 2.40 (95% CI 1.33-4.09), p=0.003. In multivariate analysis, this effect of pregnancy on HIV acquisition was weakened and did not reach statistical significance, AHR 1.71 (95% CI 0.93-3.12), p=0.08.

Of the 58 HIV transmissions to men, 12 (20.7%) were during pregnancy. The incidence of female to male HIV transmission was 3.46 per 100 person years during pregnancy compared to 1.58 per 100 person years, HR 2.31 (95% CI 1.26-4.28), p=0.01. This effect remained statistically significant in multivariate analysis, AHR 2.47 (95% CI 1.26-4.85), p=0.01. A subgroup analysis suggested the risk may increase in early and late pregnancy – AHR 2.64 (95% CI 1.02-6.84), p=0.05 and AHR 2.37 (95% CI 1.03-5.46) p=0.04 respectively - but the numbers in the subgroups were tiny (only 5 and 7).

The investigators also examined the use of antiretrovirals among women in pregnancy and the relationship to female to male transmission. Of the 503 pregnancies reported in HIV-positive women 216 (42.9%) resulted in live births, 143 (28.4%) in pregnancy losses, 14 (2.8%) had unknown outcomes and 128 (25.5%) were ongoing at study exit. The investigators noted that 119/143 (83.2%) of the pregnancy losses were before 20 weeks gestation, partly reflecting chemical pregnancies that were detected due to quarterly pregnancy testing in the study protocol.

Of the 216 pregnancies that ended in live births, 176 (81.5%) women received antiretrovirals but only 74 women received combination ART. The remainder received either short course or dual or single agent prophylaxis at the time of delivery. Of the 12 female to male transmissions during pregnancy, 9 (75%) women received antiretrovirals but this coincided with the time of transmission in only two couples. One woman was using short course AZT during labour and the second initiated ART in early pregnancy and her partner seroconverted shortly after. Adjustment for antiretroviral use in pregnancy did not alter the estimated risk of transmission, AHR 2.3 (95% CI 1.15-4.61), p=0.02. The investigators wrote that this novel finding that pregnancy increases the risk of female to male HIV transmission has important public health implications and requires further studies to understand the possible biological mechanisms.
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Pharmacokinetics of paediatric tenofovir based regimens

Polly Clayden HIV i-Base

In an article in the September 2011 edition of Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, Jennifer R King and colleagues from the P1058 protocol team reported pharmacokinetic (PK) data from children and adolescents treated with tenofovir (TDF) in combination with antiretrovirals with potential interactions.

PK results were shown for 47 participants aged 8 to 18 years, receiving a 300mg once daily TDF-based regimen. Participants received regimens that also contained an NTRI plus efavirenz (EFV) or darunavir/ritonavir (DRV/r) or atazanavir/ritonavir (ATV/r).

The antiretrovirals and doses combined with TDF in are shown in Table 1.

Plasma samples were obtained pre-dose and over 24 hours. Statistical comparisons determined whether the 90% confidence intervals of the geometric mean (GM) AUC and Cmin for each antiretroviral were within 25% of those observed in previous studies demonstrating safety and efficacy. The AUC and Cmin target ranges and GMs (90% CI) are shown in Table 2.

Table 1: Antiretrovirals combined with TDF and doses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group (n)</th>
<th>ARV</th>
<th>Doses (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (17)</td>
<td>efavirenz</td>
<td>200mg (1), 400mg (4), 600mg (12) QD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (13)</td>
<td>darunavir/ritonavir</td>
<td>300mg (2), 600mg (11) /100mg BD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (17)</td>
<td>atazanavir/ritonavir</td>
<td>150mg (3), 300mg (14)/100mg QD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BD: twice-daily; QD: once-daily.

Table 2: AUC and Cmin target range/GM (90% CI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tenofovir</td>
<td>Efavirenz</td>
<td>Tenofovir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC target range</td>
<td>2.3-3.6</td>
<td>32-124</td>
<td>2.3-3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM (90% CI)</td>
<td>2.9 (2.5-3.4)</td>
<td>88.4 (85-120)</td>
<td>3.0 (2.5-3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cmin target range</td>
<td>0.05-0.08</td>
<td>0.9-3.6</td>
<td>0.05-0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM (90% CI)</td>
<td>0.07 (0.05-0.09)</td>
<td>2.7 (1.8-4.0)</td>
<td>0.06 (0.05-0.08)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values mg*hr/liter (AUC) and mg/liter (Cmin)

In the presence of EFV only the GM for TDF Cmin was very slightly above the target upper limit of the 90% CI. In contrast the GM (90% CI) for EFV Cmin was above the target upper limit. The investigators noted that EFV exposure was high overall in this analysis although the participants were dosed according to FDA recommendations; six participants with high exposure were receiving the EFV-based triple fixed dose combination (Atripla) which they suggest may alter drug absorption in this population. They recommend a crossover study comparing Atripla to the individual formulations in children and adolescents to answer this question.

The GMs (90% CI) for TDF AUC and Cmin were within the target ranges when it was given with DRV/r, however they were below the target ranges for DRV. The investigators wrote that these data suggest that higher than recommended doses of DRV may be necessary in paediatric patients in the presence of TDF, but the small sample size warrants a larger study to confirm these findings.

The GMs (90% CI) for TDF AUC and Cmin were only slightly higher in the presence of ATV/r, in contrast with that observed in healthy adults where these elevations are significant.

The investigators also observed that TDF PK did not differ between groups 1, 2 and 3. This finding was unexpected as several PIs modestly alter TDF concentrations.

They concluded that none of the 90% CI AUC and Cmin values for the drugs tested were entirely outside the target range. So the recommended doses should provide exposure levels similar to that seen in adults. However they recommended that if individual patients experience adverse events or reduced clinical outcomes, while taking these agents in combination, monitoring exposure could be considered.

COMMENT

Gilead has now filed with the FDA and EMA for an indication for tenofovir for the 2-12 year age group. In Europe tenofovir is not approved for adolescents aged 12-18 (although there is considerable off label use), so we may be faced with the curious situation of approval for the younger but not older age group of children and adolescents.
The WHO expert paediatric group, consider a fixed dose combination dispersible tablet of EFV/TDF/3TC, scored once on one side and twice on the other to make dividing easy, to be an essential missing formulation for treating children. Modelling suggests that dosing delivered with divided tablets could work with weight band tables.

WHO is producing a white paper on tenofovir use in children.


Atazanavir pharmacokinetics in infants, children and adolescents

Polly Clayden HIV i-Base

An article in the July 31 2011 edition of AIDS describes atazanavir (ATV) pharmacokinetics (PK) in infants, children and adolescents given alone and boosted with ritonavir (ATV/r). Jennifer J Kiser and colleagues from the IMPAACT 1020A phase I/II study evaluated two formulations of ATV, capsules and a dispersible orange-vanilla flavoured powder across a range of age groups in treatment naïve and experienced participants from the United States and South Africa.

Participants were aged 91 days to 21 years and received unboosted or boosted (using ritonavir capsule or liquid formulations) ATV as part of a combination antiretroviral regimen. All participants underwent intensive 24-hour PK sampling on day 7; 195 enrolled and 172 had evaluable data.

All groups were started at a target dose of 310mg/m2. To establish an acceptable ATV or ATV/r dose for an age group, 10 participants had to meet PK and safety criteria as defined by the protocol.

For PK these were: ATV AUC AUC0-24hr of at least 30,000ng x h/mL and C24 of at least 60ng/mL in at least 80% of participants; no AUC0-24hr less than 15,000ng x h/mL and median AUC0-24hr of 60,000ng x h/mL or less. And for safety: no life threatening toxicities; one or fewer participants with grade 3 or 4 toxicities (excluding bilirubin) linked to study treatment, and two or fewer participants with total bilirubin values greater than 5.1 times the upper limit of normal.

If these criteria were not met, the ATV starting dose was modified for the age group, either increased to 415, 520 then 620mg/m2 or decreased to 205mg/m2.

Nearly half (45%) of the participants were antiretroviral naïve at enrollment; 62% received ATV capsules and the remaining 38% ATV powder.

The investigators found unboosted ATV capsules met PK criteria at a dose of 520mg/m2 for participants >2 to 13 years of age and 620mg/m2 for those >13 to 21 years of age. Boosted ATV capsules met PK criteria at a dose of 205mg/m2 for those >2 to 21 years of age. Boosted ATV powder met PK criteria at a dose of 310mg/m2 for those >2 to 13 years of age.

Infants and young children aged 3 months to 2 years dosed with boosted ATV powder failed to meet PK criteria. There was a lot of intersubject variability in exposures this age group so that a dose escalation to 415mg/mL may have given ATV exposures in some young children greater than 90,000ng x h/mL.

The investigators wrote that additional studies are needed in this age group to determine if an appropriate ritonavir boosted dose can be identified.
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Crushing lopinavir/ritonavir tablets decreases exposure by almost half in children

Polly Clayden HIV i-Base

Crushing lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r; Kaletra) tablets is not recommended by the manufacturer as pre-clinical studies showed poor absorption with this method of administration compared to whole tablets with a single dose.

The liquid formulation of LPV/r is unpalatable and inconvenient so administrating crushed tablets could potentially overcome this barrier to the paediatric use of this PI.

As single dose pharmacokinetics (PK) do not predict steady state LPV concentrations (due to the complex interaction with ritonavir [RTV]), investigators from the Children’s National Medical Center (CMC) in Washington, DC, looked at LPV/r exposure in whole and crushed 200/50mg tablets in children. Results were published ahead of print in JAIDS.

Brookie M Best and colleagues conducted a prospective, open label, cross over PK study in 13 (6 boys, 7 girls) children and adolescents with a median age of 13 years (range 10-16) taking LPV/r tablets BID as part of their antiretroviral regimen with two NRTIs. The median LPV/r dose was 275/69mg/m2 (range 193/48-372/93mg/m2. Two participants were excluded from the
analysis, one refused to take the crushed tablets, and the other had very low or undetectable levels of LPV with both methods of administration. Data are from 11 participants.

The median LPV AUC, after receiving crushed and whole tablets respectively were, 92 (IQR 79-103) mg*hr/L and 144 (IQR 101-202) mg*hr/L; crushed/whole GM 0.55 (90% CI0.45-0.69), p=0.003. The corresponding values for RTV were AUC 7(IQR 4.5 -11.1) mg*hr/L and 13.3 (IQR 9.6-17.9) mg*hr/L; GM 0.53 (90% CI 0.4-0.71), p=0.006.

Oral CL/F (L/hr/m2) was significantly increased with crushed tablets for both drugs, respectively 1.4 and 1.6 times for LPV and RTV. The maximum post dose concentrations (Cmax) were also reduced, (significantly for LPV, p=0.021) with crushed tablets.

The investigators wrote: “The reduced exposure with crushed Kaletra tablet dosing reinforces the need to discourage this practice.”

**Comment**

This study was conducted prior to the introduction of the smaller tablet formulation (100/25 mg, LPV/RTV).

These data reinforce both the importance of following manufacturers instructions about dividing protease inhibitors and the need for an alternative formulation to the oral suspension. The sprinkle formulation, being developed by Cipla and studied in CHAPAS 2, is still eagerly awaited, particularly for the very young age group.

**Reference**

Best BM et al. Pharmacokinetics of lopinavir/ritonavir crushed versus whole tablets in children. JAIDS. Publish ahead of print. DOI: 10.1097/QAI.0b013e318232b057.

http://217.160.60.64/2/medicine/art/jaids.htm
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**TB Coinfection**

**WHO Guidelines on guidelines for the programmatic management of drug-resistant tuberculosis: 2011 update**

Nathan Geffen, TBonline

The World Health Organisation (WHO) has updated its guidelines for drug-resistant TB. [1]

The guidelines were last published in 2008. [2]

The guidelines make eleven recommendations. All of them were supported by very low quality evidence.

1. Rapid drug susceptibility testing of isoniazid and rifampicin or rifampicin alone is recommended if there are resources to do it and if rifampicin resistance is not rare in the patient group. Currently the line probe assay and the GeneXpert are the only diagnostic tools to meet the WHO criteria for rapid diagnosis (two days or less).

2. Sputum smear microscopy and sputum smear culture, rather than sputum smear microscopy alone is recommended for the monitoring of patients with MDR TB during treatment.

This is a change from the 2008 guidelines that recommended monthly sputum smear microscopy and culture examination prior to culture conversion to negative and quarterly culture, with monthly smear examination after conversion.

Data pooled from 10 observational studies indicated that monthly sputum smear microscopy and culture performed best at identifying treatment failures early. This is conditional recommendation because of the resources required to implement it.

3. MDR TB patients should be treated with a fluoroquinoline. This is a strong recommendation.

4. MDR TB patients should be treated with a late-generation fluoroquinolone (levofloxacin, moxifloxacin, gatifloxacin and sparfloxacin) rather than an earlier-generation one. This is a conditional recommendation.

5. MDR TB patients should be treated with ethionamide or prothionamide. This is a strong recommendation.

6. In the treatment of MDR TB patients, four second-line drugs likely to be effective (including an injectable, kanamycin, amikacin or capreomycin) as well as pyrazinamide should be included in the intensive treatment phase. This is a conditional recommendation.

7. MDR TB patients should be treated with at least pyrazinamide, a fluoroquinolone, an injectable, ethionamide (or prothionamide) and cycloserine (or PAS if cycloserine cannot be used). This is a conditional recommendation.

Recommendations 3 to 7 were based on the results of three systematic reviews of observational data. Bias was likely substantial because some drugs may have been used for sicker patients. Nevertheless, this is the best available evidence.
Analysis showed that in the intensive phase a regimen with at least four drugs was likely to be effective. The analysis did not show any injectable to be superior to any other, so kanamycin is recommended because of its lower cost.

Fluoroquinolones were significantly associated with cure and this association was greater with later-generation ones. The recommendations gave higher weight to interventions that increased the risk of cure and reduced the risk of failure, relapse and death. Consequently fluoroquinolines were strongly recommended despite potential long-term serious adverse events. The recommendation for later-generation fluoroquinolines was conditional because of the unknown long-term side effects of these drugs. Ciprofloxacin may have some anti-TB activity but it should not be used.

For oral bacteriostatic drugs the association with cure was higher for ethionamide than cycloserine which was higher than PAS. PAS is only recommended if there is no other effective drug available to make up the four-drug regimen. No significant association between cure and any of the following was found: amoxicillin/clavulanate, azithromycin, clarithromycin, clofazimine, roxithromycin and thiocetazone.

There were too little data on linezolid and high-dose isoniazid. Pyrazinamide showed slight benefit in one analysis. Patients with XDR TB were excluded from this analysis so these recommendations do not necessarily apply to them. Nevertheless, the WHO recommends that the same principles used to design MDR TB regimens should be used for XDR TB regimens.

The regimen composition recommendations differ only in small nuances from the 2008 guidelines. Ethambutol has been removed as an alternative to pyrazinamide in the new guidelines, albeit that the new guidelines acknowledge that the decrease in efficacy associated with ethambutol in their analysis could be due to confounding.

8. The intensive phase of treatment for MDR TB patients should be at least eight months. This is a conditional recommendation.

9. A total treatment duration of at least 20 months is recommended.

The evidence base for recommendations 9 and 10 is the same as recommendations 3 to 7 and subject to confounding and bias. There was an association between treatment success and the length of treatment and the length of the intensive phase.

The 2008 guidelines recommended at least six months intensive phase treatment and at least 18 months of total treatment.

10. ART is recommended for all HIV-positive patients with MDR TB irrespective of CD4 count, starting within eight weeks after TB treatment. This was a strong recommendation.

Ten studies, none of them randomised controlled trials, informed this recommendation. The quality of evidence varied from low to very low quality. The recommendation is based in part on evidence from studies for any patients with TB and HIV (ie majority non DR patients).

11. Patients with MDR TB should be treated using mainly ambulatory care rather than models of care based on hospitalisation. This is a conditional recommendation. The data for this recommendation came from published and unpublished studies in Estonia, Peru, Philippines and Russia, but none of these were randomised controlled trials. Nor did these studies allow direct comparisons of effects between models of care. The key considerations informing this recommendation was that the cost per disability adjusted life-year was generally lower in outpatient models and that these models appear to reduce exposure to infectious drug-resistant patients. However, the guidelines warn that ambulatory care can shift the burden of cost from the service provider to the patient (eg increased travel and food costs). Therefore implementation of ambulatory care models must be accompanied by provision of what the document calls “appropriate enablers”.

The guidelines state that important gaps in knowledge should be addressed in future research, particularly in the context of large-scale expansion of treatment for patients with drug-resistant TB. The document further calls for randomised controlled trials to determine the best combination of drugs and optimal treatment duration. Further research on (1) paediatric MDR TB treatment, (2) best regimens for patients with isoniazid resistance, (3) prophylaxis for contacts of MDR TB and (4) therapy for relief from adverse reactions due to second-line drugs is needed.

**COMMENT**

A common theme throughout these guidelines is the lack of evidence to support the recommendations.

The development of new TB drugs like TMC207 and OPC-67683 needs to be completed. The phase IIb trial results of TMC207 suggest that it is already better tested than many second-line TB drugs and therefore should be available to patients.

MSF has pioneered outpatient MDR TB care in South Africa. It would strengthen recommendation 11 if they published more data on this.

References


IPT for adults: Should the Mantoux test have been removed from WHO guidelines?

Nathan Geffen, TBonline

The 2011 edition of the World Health Organisation’s Guidelines for Intensified Tuberculosis Case-finding and Isoniazid Preventive Therapy for People Living with HIV in Resource-Constrained Settings has 12 recommendations. [1]

The fourth recommendation says that adults and adolescents living with HIV who have an unknown or positive tuberculin-skin-test (TST) status and who are unlikely to have active TB should receive at least 36 months of Isoniazid Preventative Therapy (IPT). Furthermore, IPT should be given to such individuals irrespective of the degree of immunosuppression, and also to those on ART, those who have previously been treated for TB and pregnant women. The WHO says this is a strong recommendation with moderate quality of evidence to support it. The third recommendation is identical except that six months instead of 36 months of IPT is recommended and this is ranked as a strong recommendation with high quality of evidence.

The Guidelines for Tuberculosis Preventative Therapy Among HIV Infected Individuals in South Africa, published in 2010, are consistent with recommendation three. They further state, “Clinical trials have shown that the benefit of TB preventive therapy is greatest in HIV-infected persons with a positive tuberculin skin test. Where tuberculin tests are feasible and can be performed, IPT should only be offered to those who are TST positive. However, the practicalities and logistics of doing a tuberculin skin test are often an obstacle for provision of TB preventive therapy. Therefore the tuberculin skin test is no longer required to identify HIV infected people eligible for IPT.” [2]

This article deals solely with adults who are not pregnant, who are not health-workers with HIV and not in special high-risk settings such as mines or prisons. The removal of the necessity of the Mantoux test to determine TST status from IPT guidelines is concerning, as the data summarised here demonstrates.

Cochrane Review of IPT trials

A Cochrane Review of short-course chemotherapy trials (6 to 12 months) to prevent TB was published in 2010. [3]

Twelve trials met the strict criteria for inclusion in this meta-analysis. In all, 4811 participants were TST positive, 2030 were TST negative. Of these, 1,640 were known to be unable to mount an immune response to the Mantoux test (ie they were anergic). The TST status in 1737 participants was unknown. No differences were found in trials that compared effectiveness of different combinations of drugs, but all regimens significantly reduced the risk of TB as shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug combination</th>
<th>Relative Risk</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INH</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.51-0.87</td>
<td>4,136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INH+RIF</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.21-0.81</td>
<td>1,179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIF+PZA</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.34-0.86</td>
<td>855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INH+RIF+PZA</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.23-1.00</td>
<td>926</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Using INH alone reduced the incidence of confirmed, probable or possible TB by 32% (RR: 0.67 95%CI: 0.51-0.87, n=4136).

However, when analysed by TST status, the effect was only significant for TST positive participants as table 2 shows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mantoux test result</th>
<th>Relative Risk</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TST positive</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.22-0.61</td>
<td>1,311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TST negative</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.59-1.26</td>
<td>2,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.48-1.52</td>
<td>335</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IPT showed no mortality benefit (RR: 0.95; 95%CI 0.85-1.06). When analysed by TST status, the benefit only just reached significance in TST positive participants (RR: 0.74; 95%CI 0.55-1.00) and there was no benefit to TST negative (RR: 1.02; 95%CI: 0.90-1.16) or TST status unknown participants (RR: 0.81; 95%CI: 0.52-1.27).

Botusa Trial

The Botusa trial has previously been reported in HTB South. [4]

Since then it has been published in the New England Journal of Medicine. The results of the trial, contrary to the way it has been reported, are not a resounding success for long-term IPT; on the contrary the trial raises difficult questions. [5]
In this double-blind randomised controlled trial in Botswana, 989 HIV-positive participants were randomised to receive six months of isoniazid and 1,006 were randomised to receive 36 months of isoniazid. All participants received open-label isoniazid for the first six months, after participants either took placebo or isoniazid for 30 months. Only 2% of participants were on ART at the start of the trial. At the end of the open-label phase, 821 participants continued in the placebo group and 834 in the isoniazid arm.

TB incidence between the two groups diverged at 200 days after the open-label phase, indicating not unexpectedly that the benefits of short-course IPT were transient.

The protocol defined definite, probable and possible TB as follows:

- **Definite**: one or more culture was positive *M tuberculosis* or if two or more sputum smears were positive for acid-fast bacilli
- **Probable**: one sputum smear or one biopsy specimen was positive for acid-fast bacilli
- **Possible**: if smears and cultures were negative or not done. A death defined as possibly related to tuberculosis was one that had clinical or verbal autopsy evidence consistent with tuberculosis as the proximate cause of death.

In an analysis of participants that actually remained on the study after the open-label phase ended, the only statistically significant result in favour of long-term IPT was the reduced incidence of definite, probable and possible TB, and here the confidence interval was wide (25 on placebo versus 12 on isoniazid; HR: 0.47; 95%CI: 0.24-0.94). No significant difference between the arms could be found when only definite and probable cases were counted (18 versus 10; HR: 0.55; 95%CI: 0.25-1.18). Nor was there significant difference if TB (definite, probable and possible) and deaths were combined (41 versus 37; HR: 0.89; 95%CI: 0.57-1.39). However there were more deaths in the long-course arm, although this was not significant (16 versus 25; HR 1.54; 95%CI: 0.82-2.88).

When analysed by TST status, TST positive participants benefited from 36 months IPT. There were 11 cases versus only 1 case of definite, probable and possible TB (HR: 0.08; 95%CI 0.01-0.61). There were 10 cases versus only 1 case of definite and probable TB (HR:0.09; 95%CI 0.01-0.67). TB (definite, probable and possible) and all deaths were also significantly better (20 versus 4; HR: 0.17; 95%CI: 0.06-0.50). When just deaths were looked at, the 36 month arm did better though this was not significant (9 versus 3; HR: 0.28; 95%CI: 0.08-1.03).

However, the results for TST negative participants were surprising and worrying. There were no significant differences or even trends with respect to TB. But there were 21 deaths on the 36 month arm versus 7 on the short-course arm and this was significant (HR: 2.99; 95%CI: 1.27-7.04).

The reasons for this are unclear. Only one death, due to hepatic encephalopathy, appeared to be due to a known isoniazid side-effect. The adverse event rates between the arms were almost identical (1% versus 1.3%). Nevertheless, this was a double-blinded RCT and the significantly higher deaths in TST negative people in the 36 month arm should not be ignored.

**COMMENT**

The findings of the Cochrane Review and the Botusa trial show that TST status is relevant. There is no evidence that TST negative people benefit from any form of IPT prophylaxis.

In the case of long-term prophylaxis, which is now recommended by WHO guidelines and likely where other guidelines are heading, TST negative people could be put at risk of harm unnecessarily.

Guideline writers appear to be focusing on reduced TB incidence demonstrated by IPT studies. But mortality is surely a more important measure from the perspective of patients than TB incidence.

Overall, the short-course IPT studies show no significant mortality benefit when TST status is not taken into account. And in the one major long-course IPT trial there is unequivocally no mortality benefit if TST status is not taken into account. The Mantoux test should therefore not be removed from guidelines.

There is a concern that implementing the Mantoux test is too burdensome for many health facilities. If that is the case, then we need to ask if such facilities should be implementing IPT, especially long-course IPT.
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**PREVENTION**

**DSMB stops oral tenofovir monotherapy arm of VOICE PrEP study due to lack of difference compared to placebo**

Research into the use of tenofovir as daily prophylaxis to prevent HIV infection (PrEP) was further complicated by news that one of the key ongoing studies has discontinued women using tenofovir as monotherapy.

On 28 September 2001, the Microbicides Trial Network (MTN), announced that following an interim review by the Data and Safety Monitoring Board (DSMB), the study would be unable to show a reduction in transmissions in patients using daily oral tenofovir and that this arm of the study would be stopped.

Previous studies have reported a strongly protective effect in both high-risk MSM (iPrEX study) and heterosexual populations (Partners in Prevention and TDF2 studies) with a negative result reported in heterosexual women (FEM-PrEP study). The Caprisa 004 study found a 43% protective effect of daily tenofovir gel.

The phase 2b VOICE (Vaginal and Oral Interventions to Control the Epidemic) study has enrolled more than 5,000 HIV-negative women at 15 clinical research sites in Uganda, South Africa and Zimbabwe.

The study randomised women to one of five groups: daily oral tenofovir, daily oral Truvada, daily oral placebo tablet, daily tenofovir gel or daily placebo gel.

The remaining four arms will continue to be studied, with results expected in 2013.

Information on the numbers of HIV infections that have occurred in any of the study arms will not be available until this time. Without this analysis it is impossible to know explain the current study results.

References

MTN press release. MTN statement on decision to discontinue use of oral tenofovir tablets in VOICE, a major HIV prevention study in women. (28 September 2011).

http://www.mtnstopshiv.org/node/3619

Questions and Answers about the modification of VOICE study:

http://www.mtnstopshiv.org/node/3622

Summary of other PrEP studies:


**I-BASE FOCUS**

**The phoneline and information request services: review of service use: 2004-2011**

The following review provides an example of a unique and successful community-led treatment advocacy service.

Charlotte Walker, HIV i-Base

**Background**

In the UK there is a high standard of health services available for HIV. The latest treatments, expert care and specialist support services are easily accessible. To compliment these services, i-Base produces publications targeting doctors, healthcare workers and HIV positive communities.

The i-Base Treatment Information Service, both phone- and web-based, is celebrating its 10th anniversary this year. The service offers high quality up to date information on HIV treatment and treatment-related issues. i-Base is the only organisations to provide an online HIV treatment-related question and answer service in the UK. This project is an example of a successful community-led advocacy project which aims to ensure positive people have access to the essential information they require to make informed decisions about their health.

All calls and enquires have anonymised data logged onto a database as part of the quality control for this service. This allows the project to review the important of different subjects and to track service use and response rates.
Use of service

From 2004 to June 2011, 1949 treatment calls have been taken and 3271 online questions answered. The demand for this service is growing exponentially. During 2010, 1036 web-based and 296 phoneline questions were answered. Table 1 below shows the numbers of questions answered broken down into 2-year periods including a projected estimate for 2011 based on 2010 figures.

Table 1: Calls and information requests 2004-2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year period</th>
<th>Phoneline calls</th>
<th>Web-based Q &amp; A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004 to 2005</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006 to 2007</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008 to 2009</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>1209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010 to 2011</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>2072</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The phoneline is free to call from within the UK but is not an international number and so is UK-focused only. The web-based Q and A service is available for people worldwide. 2010 has seen the most diverse use of this essential online service with only 31% of questions coming from people within the UK.

UK-based enquiries predominantly ask about treatment-related issues. The most common area where the positive community require more information is in relation to side effects (23%) followed by starting and changing treatment (13% and 12% respectively).

Web-based questions cover both treatment, testing and transmission risk. This has led to the development of new online resources on testing and transmission to ensure the focus remains strongly on HIV treatment.

Monitoring the impact of the publications, phoneline and web-based services offered by i-Base is important if the services are to continue to meet the needs of the service users. Users are encouraged to provide feedback using a simple anonymous online survey. Between July and December 2010, 108 feedback forms were received.

The results of this feedback are given as the percentage of service users providing this feedback for each point.

- 12% had called the phoneline
- 88% had asked a question either online or by e-mail
- 29% had read one or more i-Base treatment guides
- 13% had read i-Base research reports (HTB etc)
- 48% were from outside the UK
- 6% were healthcare workers or treatment advocates
- 81% agreed with the statement: ‘I now understand more about HIV treatment’
- 82% agreed with the statement: ‘I now feel more confident about dealing with HIV’

Evaluation and monitoring

Comments about the quality of i-Base information services given as part of the feedback form demonstrate its impact on the service users' treatment decisions and the healthcare they receive. Examples of these comments and the impact of i-Base's information services are as follows:

This is the second time I have used i-Base to ask a question relating to my treatment. Your website and available literature is very clear and your response to my specific question asked today was very prompt and helpful in helping me make a decision relating to participating in a study. Thank you very much.

As a care giver I want to be able to tell my patient is receiving the right treatment...

My doctor has removed the excess drugs I was taking since I received the information you sent me. I feel lighter now that I have reduced the drugs from 5 to 3 ARVs. Once again thanks for your responses. I will read the booklet you have referred to me.

Your suggestions and questions made it easy for me to have an intelligent conversation with the consultant. It also helped me to ask the right questions which in the end contribute do me making an informed decision that I left the clinic happy with.

Fantastic as always, i-Base! I wrote in with a question and it was answered the next morning. i-Base is a fantastic resource and the information provided is detailed yet always easy written in a way that is easy to understand. I don't have much time with my doctor at the clinic and the team at i-Base have answered the questions that come up between visits and put my mind at rest. While it can be hard reading about some of the issues we face with HIV I feel it's always better to understand and know what we may have to face in order to deal with it. Many thanks.
Conclusion

HIV i-Base has been running for just over 10 years now and the range and type of services offered are unique in the UK.

I-Base is an example of a successful community-led intervention that is both empowering and informative.

In the current financial climate where resources are becoming more limited and the NHS more stretched in the time and quality of services they are able to offer each patient, there is an important role for community-led organisations such as i-Base to offer user-friendly treatment information and support to the positive community.

PUBLICATIONS & SERVICES FROM i-BASE

i-Base website

The i-Base website is designed with portals for healthcare professionals, HIV-positive people and community advocates.

It is fast and easy to access, use and navigate.

http://www.i-Base.info

All i-Base publications are available online, including editions of the treatment guides. The site gives details about services including the UK Community Advisory Board (UK-CAB), our phone service and Q&A service, access to our archives and an extensive range of translated resources and links.

Publications and regular subscriptions can be ordered online.

The Q&A web pages enable people to ask questions about their own treatment:

http://www.i-base.info/qa

RSS news feed is available for HIV Treatment Bulletin for web and PDA.

The advocacy resources include an online training manual with eight 2-hour modules that include questions and evaluation. Training modules start with basics, including CD4, viral load and other monitoring tests, combination therapy and side effects, and include overviews of the main opportunistic infections. There is a module on pregnancy and another module on IV drug users and treatment.

An average of 100,000 pages individual ISP accounts contact the website each month, with over 6000 hits a day.

Non-technical treatment guides

i-Base treatment guides

i-Base produce five booklets that comprehensively cover five important aspects of treatment. Each guide is written in clear non-technical language. All guides are free to order individually or in bulk for use in clinics and are available online in web-page and PDF format.

http://www.i-base.info/guides

• Introduction to combination therapy (July 2010)
• Guide to hepatitis C for people living with HIV: testing, coinfection, treatment and support (March 2009)
• Guide to changing treatment and drug resistance (February 2011)
• Guide to HIV, pregnancy & women’s health (September 2011)
• HIV and quality of life: side effects & complications (December 2010)

Publications and reports

HIV Treatment Bulletin (HTB)

This is the journal you are reading now: a review of the latest research and other news in the field. HTB is published every two months in print, PDF and online editions.

http://i-base.info/htb
HTB South
A quarterly bulletin based on HTB but with additional articles relevant to Southern Africa. HTB South is distributed in print format by the Southern African HIV Clinicians Society (www.sahivsoc.org) to over 20,000 doctors and healthcare workers. It is also available as a PDF file and is posted online to the i-Base website.
http://i-base.info/htb-south

HTB Turkey
HIV Tedavi Bülteni Türkiye (HTB Turkey) is a Turkish-language publication based on HTB and produced three times a years by an independent group of Turkish doctors, activists and health care workers.
http://i-base.info/home/hiv-tedavi-bulteni-htb-turkey/

ARV4IDUs
An electronic publication, Antiretroviral Treatment for Injecting Drug Users (ARV4IDUs) is produced in English and Russian language editions, to provide an overview of research related to IV-drug use and antiretroviral treatment.
http://i-base.info/idu

Treatment information needs of African people in the UK living with HIV
This report by Winnie Sseruma and i-Base includes an analysis from workshops held last year and details African use and experience of current treatment information resources.
http://i-base.info/home/africans-and-treatment-information

i-Base Book: “Why we must provide HIV treatment information”
Photography by Wolfgang Tillmans
i-Base has worked as a treatment literacy project for over ten years. Over this time we have always produced copyright-free material and encouraged other organisations to use, translate and adapt our material. Through this work, we have been very lucky to develop links to many other advocacy projects outside the UK.

A meeting, held in Cape Town focused on how to raise the profile of treatment literacy. One result from the meeting is a publication “Why we must provide HIV treatment information”.

Text is provided by activists from 25 countries and 50 full colour photographs by Wolfgang Tillmans.

Translations of i-Base publications
Original material published by i-Base can be translated and reprinted, and has so far been produced in over 35 languages.
More information about this process is available on the i-Base website.
In addition, PDF files of some of the translated publications are available on the i-Base site.
Please be aware that some of these translations are from earlier editions of the treatment guides, and check the publication date before relying on all information.
http://i-base.info/category/translations

Languages include:
Bosnian, Bulgarian, Chinese, Czech/Slovak, Croatian, French, Greek, Hindi, Indonesian, Italian, Kosovo, Macedonian, Nepali, Polish, Portuguese, Romanian, Russian, Serbian and Spanish.

Advocacy resources

Online advocacy training manual
http://www.i-base.info/education
Entry-level curriculum relating to HIV and treatment. Eight modules include: immune system and CD4 count; virology, HIV and viral load; an introduction to antiretrovirals (ARVs); side effects of ARVs; opportunistic infections and coinfections: HIV and pregnancy; drug users and HIV; and clinical trial design and the role of advocates

Additional support material is included on how to understand aspects of science that might be new to a lay reader.
The training manual was previously only available online as a PDF document and has been widely translated. Earlier editions are available in Russian, Portuguese, Hindi and Nepalese as are available as PDF files.

**UK CAB: reports and presentations**

The UK Community Advisory Board (UK CAB) is a network for community advocates from across the UK that has been meeting since 2002. It now includes over 380 members from over 120 organisations. Each meeting includes two training lectures and a meeting with a pharmaceutical company or specialist researcher.

The CAB has a separate website, where reading material, reports and presentations from all meetings are posted. Membership is free.

http://www.ukcab.net

**World CAB - reports on international drug pricing**

Reports from meetings between community advocates and pharmaceutical companies focused on pricing and global access to treatment. Available as PDF files.

**Treatment information services**

**Treatment information request service - 0808 800 6013**

i-Base runs a specialised treatment information service.

We can provide information by phone, email and online based on the latest research.

For further details, call the i-Base treatment information free phone line on 0808 800 6013. The line is usually staffed by positive people and is open Mondays, Tuesdays and Wednesdays from 12 noon to 4pm. All calls are in confidence and are free within the UK.

**Online Q&A service**

An online question and answer service that now has over 1500 questions online. Questions can be answered privately, or with permission, can be answered online.

http://www.i-base.info/qa

Recent questions include:

- What is the outlook for my 51 year old father?
- Will PEP delay seroconversion?
- Can my partner in the UK switch from Triomune?
- I am newly diagnosed with HIV and have Behcet’s disease?
- Is there any HIV vaccine available currently?
- What does ‘take with food’ mean for darunavir?
- I am HIV positive, can I be a pilot?
- Can ARVs cause false-positive results for drug tests?
- Alcohol with Atripla?
- Will treatment work if I am over 50 years old?
- Are my CD4 and viral load results after treatment okay?
- What’s the risk from oral sex if I’ve had recent dental work?
- What are the symptoms of rash with efavirenz used in PEP?
- Can your CD4 count tell you when you became HIV+?
- How much does viral load drop after starting treatment?
- I have pain when urinating. Am I infected by HIV?
- Can I take Marinol to increase my CD4 count?
• Can immunadue heal an abscess?
• Does Atripla cause memory loss?
• Are gall stones related to HIV?
• I am just diagnosed with a CD4 count of 10?
• Will ARVs still work if my partner’s CD4 count is 75?
• Which combination is least likely to cause lipodystrophy?
• Can I take Vitamins for my HIV?
• Can an HIV- woman and her HIV+ partner conceive naturally?
• Can I take Stocrin and Truvada at the same time?
• What does a CD4 count of 42 mean?
• Is my headache a symptom of HIV?
• What is PEP? (Post Exposure Prophylaxis)
• Which lube is best to protect against HIV?
• Can I drink lime cordial with my meds?
• Who can I talk to about treatment in Yorkshire?
• Should my friend start ARVs with their TB meds?
• Do I continue ARVs after my pregnancy?
• Can someone get travel insurance with HIV and hepC?
• Can a person be infectious without being HIV-positive?
• How soon will my partner see a response to treatment?
• I am worried about a drop in my last CD4 count...
• Is this a blip on Truvada and nevirapine?

Other resources

Treatment ‘Passports’

These popular booklets are for HIV-positive people - whether newly diagnosed or positive for a long time - to keep a record of health and treatment history. Like all i-Base publications, they are available free as single copies, or in bulk.

Generic clinic forms

A set of generic clinic forms, developed with the Royal Free Centre for HIV Medicine, which may be a useful resource for other hospitals.

These PDF files include record sheets to track CD4 and viral load results, cardiovascular risk, hepatitis, first patient visit, patient update, day case and summary notes.

http://i-base.info/category/publications/clinic-forms

i-Base can add your hospital or Trust logo to these forms.

Order publications and subscribe by post, fax or online

All publications can be ordered online for individual or bulk copies. All publications are free. Unfortunately bulk orders are only available free in the UK.

http://i-base.info/order

Copies of publications can also be ordered by post or fax using the form on the back page of HTB. These methods of ordering are suitable for all our publications: HIV Treatment Bulletin (HTB), HTB South, Treatment ‘Passports’ and all our guides to managing HIV and additional reports.
JOB VACANCY

Job vacancy: i-Base administrator

HIV i-Base is looking for a part time receptionist and office administrator.

Responsibilities include:

- Answering the phone as first point of contact for people calling i-Base.
- Maintaining our various data bases and processing publication orders.
- Labeling, packing and despatching publication orders.
- Maintaining office supplies and services.
- Preparing reports from the databases.
- Posting publications to our email lists.
- Some secretarial assistance to other staff.

Experience in the use of “Word”, and “Excel” essential and proficiency in “FileMaker Pro” would be an asset.

The successful candidate will be self-motivated and able to manage a diverse workload. Have good personal communications skills to enable them to work with colleagues and engage with callers and visitors.

The salary for this post will be in the range £18,000 to £22,000 pro rata for four days depending on experience. Holiday entitlement is 25 pa days also pro rata. HIV i-Base offices are between Borough and Southwark tube stations in central London.

If you are interested in applying for this post please send a cover letter and CV to: jobs@i-base.org.uk by Friday the 21st October 2011. Details are also online: http://i-base.info/about-us/volunteering-and-staff-vacancies/

Equal opportunities: HIV i-Base is an equal opportunities employer. We welcome applications from people living with HIV.

h-tb

HIV Treatment Bulletin

HTB is a monthly journal published in print and electronic format by HIV i-Base. As with all i-Base publications, subscriptions are free and can be ordered directly from the i-Base website: http://www.i-Base.info; by fax or post using the form on the back page by sending an email to: subscriptions@i-Base.org.uk

Editor: Simon Collins
Contributing Editor: Polly Clayden

Medical Consultants:
Dr Karen Beckerman, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, NYC.
Dr Sanjay Bhagani, Royal Free Hospital, London.
Paul Blanchard, British School of Osteopathy, London.
Dr Martin Fisher, Brighton & Sussex University Hospitals.
Prof. Diana Gibb, Medical Research Council, London.
Gregg Gonsalves, Atti Treatment Preparedness Coalition (ITPC).
Dr Gareth Hardy, Case Western Reserve Univ. Cleveland.
Dr Saye Khoo, University of Liverpool Hospital.
Prof. Clive Loveday, International Laboratory Virology Centre.
Prof. James McIntyre, Chris Hani Baragwanath Hosp. South Africa
Dr Graeme Moyle, Chelsea & Westminster Hosp, London.
Dr Stefan Mauss, Düsseldorf.
Prof Caroline Sabin, UCL Medical School, London.
Dr Graham P Taylor, Imperial College, London.
Dr Stephen Taylor, Birmingham Heartlands Hospital.
Dr Gareth Tudor-Williams, Imperial College, London.
Dr Edmund Wilkins, Manchester General Hospital, Manchester.

HTB is a not-for-profit community publication that aims to provide a review of the most important medical advances related to clinical management of HIV and its related conditions as well as access to treatments. Comments to articles are compiled from consultant, author and editorial responses. Some articles are reproduced from other respected sources and copyright for these articles remains with the original authors and sources, as indicated at the end of each article. We thank those organisations for recognising the importance of providing widely distributed free access to information both to people living with HIV and to the healthcare professionals involved in their care. We also thank them for permission to distribute their excellent work and we encourage HTB readers to visit the source websites for further access to their coverage of HIV treatment.

Articles written and credited to i-Base writers, as with all i-Base originated material, remains the copyright of HIV i-Base, but these articles may be reproduced by community and not-for-profit organisations without individual written permission and reproduction is encouraged. A credit and link to the original author, the HTB issue and the i-Base website is always appreciated.

HIV i-Base receives unconditional educational grants from Charitable Trusts, individual donors and pharmaceutical companies. All editorial policies are strictly independent of funding sources.

HIV i-Base
4th Floor
57 Great Suffolk Street
London
SE1 0BB
T: +44 (0) 20 7407 8488
F: +44 (0) 20 7407 8489
http://www.i-Base.info

HIV i-Base is a registered charity no 1081905 and company reg in england no 3962064. HTB is also known as DrFax
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All publications are free, including bulk orders, because any charge would limit access to this information to some of the people who most need it. However, any donation that your organisation can make towards our costs is greatly appreciated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STANDING ORDER DONATION</th>
<th>THANK YOU FOR YOUR SUPPORT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Title:  __________  First Name ______________  Surname ________________</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address ____________________________________________________________________________</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot; &quot; ____________________________________________________________________________  Postcode _____________________________</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email ___________________________________ @ ___________________________________________</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone (s) _____________________________________________________________________</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please pay  HIV I-Base  £ _____________________  each month until further notice

Please debit my account number _________________________________________________

Name of account (holder) __________________________________________  Bank sort code _____/_____/_____

Starting on  _____/_____/_____  (DD/MM/YY)

Signature  __________________________  Date _____/_____/_____  (DD/MM/YY)

To: Manager: (Bank name, branch and address)

_______________________________________________________________________________

_______________________________________________________________________________

Please complete the above and return to:  HIV I-Base, 44-46 Southwark Street, London SE1 1UN

(Our bank details for donations: NatWest, Kings Cross Branch, 266 Pentonville Road, London N1 9NA, Sort Code 60-12-14. Account Number: 28007042)

---

ONE-OFF DONATION

I do not wish to make a regular donation but enclose a one-off cheque in the sum of _____________ instead.

I wish to make a one of donation (minimum £12.50 inc p&p) for the Treatment Literacy Photogrpahy Book £ ______.

GIVE AS YOU EARN

If your employer operates a Give-As-You-Earn scheme please consider giving to I-Base under this scheme. Our Give-As-You-Earn registration number is 000455013. Our Charity registration number is 1081905

Since many employers match their employees donations a donation through Give-As-You-Earn could double your contribution. For more information on Give-As-You-Earn visit www.giveasyouearn.org

REFUNDS FROM THE TAX MAN

From April 2005 the Inland Revenue is operating a system whereby you can request that any refunds from them should be paid to a charity of your choice from the list on their website. If you feel like giving up that tax refund we are part of this scheme and you will find us on the Inland Revenue list with the code: JAM40VG (We rather like this code!) Any amount is extremely helpful.

Whichever of the above schemes you might chose to donate to i-Base we would like to thank you very much for your support.
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Please use this form to amend subscription details for HIV Treatment Bulletin (DrFax) and to order single or bulk copies of other publications. All publications are available free, but if you would like to make a donation please use the form on the inside back page.
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☐ I would like to make a donation to i-Base - Please see inside back page

**Office use:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HIV Treatment Bulletin (HTB) monthly</th>
<th>☐ by Email (PDF format) ☐ by Post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HIV ‘Treatment Passports’ - Booklets for patients to record their own medical history</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 ☐ 5 ☐ 10 ☐ 25 ☐ 50 ☐ 100 ☐ Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guide To HIV, Pregnancy and Women’s Health (January 2009)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 ☐ 5 ☐ 10 ☐ 25 ☐ 50 ☐ 100 ☐ Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NEW:** Introduction to Combination Therapy (July 2010)

| 1 ☐ 5 ☐ 10 ☐ 25 ☐ 50 ☐ 100 ☐ Other |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guide to Changing Treatment and Drug Resistance (February 2011)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 ☐ 5 ☐ 10 ☐ 25 ☐ 50 ☐ 100 ☐ Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HIV and your Quality of Life: a Guide Side Effects and Other Complications (December 2010)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 ☐ 5 ☐ 10 ☐ 25 ☐ 50 ☐ 100 ☐ Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guide To HIV and hepatitis C coinfection (March 2009)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 ☐ 5 ☐ 10 ☐ 25 ☐ 50 ☐ 100 ☐ Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Translations of earlier treatment guides into other languages are available as PDF files on our website.

**Phoneline support material (please specify required number of each)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A3 posters</th>
<th>A5 leaflets</th>
<th>A6 postcards</th>
<th>Small cards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Adherence planners and side effect diary sheets - In pads of 50 sheets for adherence support

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1 Sheet</th>
<th>1 pad</th>
<th>5 pads</th>
<th>10 pads</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Please fax this form back, post to the above address, or email a request to HIV i-Base:**

020 7407 8489 (fax) subscriptions@i-Base.org.uk

www.i-base.info